

ASPIRE SCORING SEX ED & ABORTION POLICY N MASSACHUSETTS AND NATIONWIDE

Our fellows analyzed all 50 states and DC for their support for or restrictions of abortion, LGBTQ+ rights, critical race theory, social emotional learning, and sex education. Using national resources such as SIECUS: Sex Ed for Social Change and GLSEN, they created a score of a state's support for and ease of access to comprehensive sex education. The goal of this project was to identify trends in abortion access and sex education across the nation in a post-Dobbs environment. This fact sheet uses the Guttmacher abortion access score to contextualize the PPLM-developed sex education score.

GUTTMACHER ABORTION POLICY SCORE

MOST RESTRICTIVE State bans abortion completely

VERY RESTRICTIVE State has multiple restrictions and early gestational age ban

RESTRICTIVE State has multiple restrictions and later gestational age ban

SOME RESTRICTIONS/ State has a few restrictions or protections, or has combination **PROTECTIONS** of restrictive and protective policies

PROTECTIVE State has some protective policies

VERY PROTECTIVE State has most of the protective policies

MOST PROTECTIVE State has all or almost all of the protective policies

SEX ED POLICY SCORE



Abstinence is stressed Discriminatory against LGBTQ+ Prohibits abortion Emphasis on heterosexual marriage Opt-in parental consent



Medically accurate Birth control options are covered Inclusive of LGBTQ+ Affirms abortion

NOTABLE DATA

We can see from the data that MA is neutral on sex **education** (scoring a 0 on a scale of -5 to 4) in the company of states including Missouri, Nebraska and South Dakota, which have some of the most restrictive laws on the books against abortion, LGBTQ+ rights, social emotional learning, and critical race theory.

Massachusetts is one of only four states that are protective of abortion rights to score below a 1 on sex education policy. And while there are plenty of states that scored lower than MA, there are 14 states (plus D.C.) that scored higher – including Iowa, which has some of the most restrictive laws on the books for abortion, LGBTQ+ rights, and critical race theory.

Massachusetts is protective of abortion rights, but lacking when it comes to sex education.

CONTACT: Jennifer Hart, Vice President of Education, Learning, & Engagement at PPLM | jhart@pplm.org

Mississippi (MS) Texas (TX) Arizona (AZ) Florida (FL) Louisiana (LA) Ohio (OH) South Carolina (SC) Arkansas (AR) Georgia (GA) Indiana (IN) Kentucky (KY) Michigan (MI) North Carolina (NC) Oklahoma (OK) Arkansas (AR) Colling (MS) Colling (MS) Arkansas (AR) Colling (MS) Colling (MS) Arkansas (AR) Colling (MS) Co
Arizona (AZ) Florida (FL) Louisiana (LA) Ohio (OH) South Carolina (SC) Arkansas (AR) Georgia (GA) Indiana (IN) Kentucky (KY) Michigan (MI) North Carolina (NC) -3 Restrictive Most Restrictive Restrictive Most Restrictive Wery Restrictive Very Restrictive Restrictive Most Restrictive Some Restrictive
Florida (FL) Louisiana (LA) Ohio (OH) South Carolina (SC) Arkansas (AR) Georgia (GA) Indiana (IN) Kentucky (KY) Michigan (MI) North Carolina (NC) -3 Restrictive -3 Restrictive Most Restrictive Very Restrictive Restrictive Restrictive Most Restrictive Some Restrictive
Louisiana (LA) Ohio (OH) -3 Restrictive South Carolina (SC) -3 Restrictive Arkansas (AR) -2 Most Restrictive Georgia (GA) -2 Very Restrictive Indiana (IN) -2 Restrictive Kentucky (KY) Michigan (MI) -2 Restrictive Some Restrictions/Protections North Carolina (NC) -3 Restrictive Most Restrictive Sestrictive Restrictive Restrictive Restrictive
Ohio (OH) -3 Restrictive South Carolina (SC) -3 Restrictive Arkansas (AR) -2 Most Restrictive Georgia (GA) -2 Very Restrictive Indiana (IN) -2 Restrictive Kentucky (KY) -2 Most Restrictive Michigan (MI) -2 Some Restrictions/Protections North Carolina (NC) -2 Restrictive
South Carolina (SC) -3 Restrictive Arkansas (AR) -2 Most Restrictive Georgia (GA) -2 Very Restrictive Indiana (IN) -2 Restrictive Kentucky (KY) -2 Most Restrictive Michigan (MI) -2 Some Restrictions/Protections North Carolina (NC) -2 Restrictive
Arkansas (AR) Georgia (GA) Indiana (IN) -2 Restrictive Kentucky (KY) Michigan (MI) North Carolina (NC) -2 Most Restrictive Restrictive Most Restrictive Some Restrictive Restrictive Restrictive
Georgia (GA) -2 Very Restrictive Indiana (IN) -2 Restrictive Kentucky (KY) -2 Most Restrictive Michigan (MI) -2 Some Restrictions/Protections North Carolina (NC) -2 Restrictive
Indiana (IN) -2 Restrictive Kentucky (KY) -2 Most Restrictive Michigan (MI) -2 Some Restrictions/Protections North Carolina (NC) -2 Restrictive
Kentucky (KY) -2 Most Restrictive Michigan (MI) -2 Some Restrictions/Protections North Carolina (NC) -2 Restrictive
Michigan (MI) -2 Some Restrictions/Protections North Carolina (NC) -2 Restrictive
North Carolina (NC) -2 Restrictive
Oklahoma (OK) -2 Most Restrictive
Utah (UT) -2 Restrictive
Alabama (AL) -1 Most Restrictive
Delaware (DE) -1 Some Restrictions/Protections
Idaho (ID) -1 Most Restrictive
Kansas (KS) -1 Restrictive
Nevada (NV) -1 Some Restrictions/Protections
New York (NY) -1 Very Protective
North Dakota (ND) -1 Restrictive
Pennsylvania (PA) -1 Restrictive
Tennessee (TN) -1 Most Restrictive
West Virginia (WV) -1 Most Restrictive
Wisconsin (WI) -1 Restrictive
Alaska (AK) 0 Protective
Massachusetts (MA) 0 Protective
Minnesota (MN) O Some Restrictions/Protections
Missouri (MO) 0 Most Restrictive
Montana (MT) Some Restrictions/Protections
Nebraska (NE) 0 Restrictive
New Hampshire (NH) 0 Some Restrictions/Protections
New Mexico (NM) 0 Very Protective
South Dakota (SD) 0 Most Restrictive
Virginia (VA) Some Restrictions/Protections
Wyoming (WY) Some Restrictions/Protections
Connecticut (CT) 1 Protective
Hawaii (HI) 1 Protective
Iowa (IA) Restrictive
Maine (ME) 1 Protective
Vermont (VT) 1 Very Protective
Washington (WA) 1 Protective
California (CA) 2 Very Protective
Maryland (MD) 2 Protective
New Jersey (NJ) 2 Very Protective
Oregon (OR) 2 Most Protective
Rhode Island (RI) 2 Some Restrictions/Protections
D.C. 3 Protective
Illinois (IL) 3 Protective
Colorado (CO) 4 Protective

recognized research, clinical training, and professional education teams. ASPIRE works to advance excellence and equity in sexual and reproductive landscape analysis was supported by the Planned Parenthood Federation of America's Fund for the Future and was conducted by Dr. Susan The Planned Parenthood League of Massachusetts ASPIRE Center for Sexual and Reproductive Health serves as the umbrella for PPLM's nationally health and wellbeing, with a focus on combatting systemic barriers that disproportionately prevent Black, Indigenous and other people of color (BIPOC), LGBTQ+ people, young people, and people with disabilities from accessing quality sexual and reproductive health care. This national Wiener, MD MPH and Jennifer Cheng, BS under the supervision of ASPIRE Center Director MaryRose Mazzola, JD MPP.